

Homework II

Instructor : Gabriel Taubin*

TA : Silvio Savarese†

1 Transformations

Exercise 1. An *Euclidean transformation* is a transformation of the plane that preserves the distance. A *Similar transformation* is an Euclidean transformation followed by a scaling (same scaling for each component). Such a transformation can be represented as $p' = Sp$, where S is a 3x3 matrix, $p = [x, y, 1]^T$ is a point expressed in homogeneous coordinates and $p' = [x', y', 1]^T$ is its transformation. Consider S as a block matrix and gives an expression and a geometric interpretation of each block. (Hint: start from a vector $e_1 = [1, 0]^T$ and see how such vector is transformed according to the similar transformation).

Exercise 2. An *Affine transformation* is a non singular linear transformation followed by a translation. Such transformation can be represented as $p' = Ap$, where A is a 3x3 matrix, p and p' are defined as above. Consider A as a block matrix and gives an expression and a geometric interpretation of each block. (Hint: start from 2 vectors $e_1 = [1, 0]^T$ and $e_2 = [0, 1]^T$ and see how such vectors are transformed according to the affine transformation. If $p = e_1 + e_2$ then the transformation of p is the sum of the transformation of e_1 plus the transformation of e_2 .)

Exercise 3. i) Prove that, given 3 non-collinear points p_a, p_b and p_c belonging to a plane π , any point $p \in \pi$ can be written in a unique way as an affine combination of p_a, p_b and p_c :

$$p = p_a \lambda_a + p_b \lambda_b + p_c \lambda_c, \forall p \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c$ are called the affine coordinates of p with respect to p_a, p_b and p_c and where the lambda's satisfy the constraint $\lambda_a + \lambda_b + \lambda_c = 1$.

ii) Consider the set of points defined by positive affine coordinates ($\lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c > 0$). Locate those points in the plane π .

iii) Compute the affine coordinates attached to the points p_a, p_b and p_c .

iv) Give an expression of $\lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c$ as function of p, p_a, p_b and p_c .

v) Prove that affine coordinates are invariant with respect to an affine transformation. That is, show that if $p' = Ap$ and $p = p_a \lambda_a + p_b \lambda_b + p_c \lambda_c$, then p' is an affine combination of p'_a, p'_b and p'_c with the same λ 's

Exercise 4. A *Perspective transformation* is a non singular linear transformation of homogeneous coordinates. Such transformation can be represented as $p' = Hp$, where H is a 3x3 matrix, $p = [x, y, 1]^T$ is a point expressed in homogeneous coordinates and $p' = [x', y', z']^T$ is its transformation. Consider H as a block matrix and gives an expression and a geometric interpretation of each block. (Hint: start from an unitary square (defined by the points $[0, 0]^T, [1, 0]^T, [0, 1]^T$ and $[1, 1]^T$ and see how the unitary square is transformed according to the perspective transformation.)

Exercise 5. A 3D scene is distorted under the perspective projection into the image plane. The image in *whiteboard.jpg* does not appear as a rectangular board even though the original is so. The goal of this exercise is to undo the perspective transformation H by computing an inverse transformation H^{-1} . If we apply H^{-1} to *whiteboard.jpg* we get a synthesized image which represents the whiteboard in its correct geometric shape. This operation is called *rectification*. Note that we are not interested in estimating a scale factor but only the shape geometry according to its real proportions. The matrix H can be estimated as follows. Let us call a', b', c' and d' the 4 corners of the whiteboard in *whiteboard.jpg* and a, b, c and d the corresponding corners in the corrected image. a, b, c and d must be the corners of a rectangle whose height/width ratio is 1.35. Remember that we do not need the estimate the scale factor. For each pair of corners between the 2 images, we can write the following relationship (in particular for the 1st corner):

$$\lambda a' = Ha \quad (2)$$

where λ is multiplicative factor, $a' = [x', y', 1]^T$, H is the 3x3 perspective transformation matrix, $a = [x, y, 1]^T$. Furthermore assume that the entry $h_{3,3}$ of H is 1 (what is the geometrical meaning of this assumption?). By writing the above relationship for the 4 corners and by eliminating λ , we can get a system of 8 equations in 8 unknowns. By solving the system we can estimate H .

The matlab code *rectificator.m* allows you to load an image (*whiteboard.jpg*) and to select the 4 corners a', b', c' and d' . Write the routine to compute H . Once H is known, synthesize the correct image from *whiteboard.jpg*. That is, write a routine that for each pixel p of the correct image computes the coordinates of the corresponding pixel p' in *whiteboard.jpg* and assigns to p the color information attached to p' . This is basically a color mapping transformation. Turn in the code, the estimated H and a print out of the rectified image.

2 Calibration

As discussed in class, the calibration procedure allows to estimate intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of the camera in the least square sense. It is important to have a good starting point for the least square minimization process. Exercise 6 and 7 provide a technique to compute a good approximation for both intrinsic and extrinsic parameters which can be used as a starting point for the full calibration procedure.

Exercise 6. In this exercise we want to estimate the distortion factor present in the image *chessboard-dist.jpg*. We assume to deal with a radial distortion centered in the middle of the image. Write a *dist-estimator.m* program in order to: i) load *chessboard-dist.jpg* and select 4 corners from the image (in order to define a rectangle in the real chessboard); ii) use the 4 corners to estimate H (each square of the chessboard has a height/width ratio = 1). iii) by using H , map points of the rectified grid (defined by the 4 corners) into points p_m in the distorted image; iv) by using p_m , the corresponding grid points of the distorted image and the radial distortion

*taubin@caltech.edu

†savarese@vision.caltech.edu

correction equation used in last lecture, try to estimate k in the least square sense.

Exercise 7. In this exercise we perform full camera calibration using the linear estimation method. The result of this estimation can be used as initial estimates for the non-linear least squares minimization process. We denote the projection matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} & p_{14} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & p_{23} & p_{24} \\ p_{31} & p_{32} & p_{33} & p_{34} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1^t & | & p_{14} \\ p_2^t & | & p_{24} \\ p_3 & | & p_{34} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $p_1, p_2,$ and p_3 are 3 dimensional vectors. Since the matrix P is determined modulo a multiplicative factor, it has 11 degrees of freedom. Each calibration point $(x_i, y_i, z_i)^t$ projects onto an image plane point with coordinates $(u_i, v_i)^t$ determined by the equation

$$\lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where λ_i is a non-zero multiplicative factor. The following equation produces two linear equations with the elements of P as unknowns

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -u_i & -v_i \end{pmatrix} P \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

How many calibration points are needed to estimate P ? Estimate P in the least squares sense by imposing the additional linear constraint $p_{34} = 1$. Is it acceptable to impose this additional constraint? If not, what other constraint can be imposed?

Ignoring lens distortion, the projection matrix P can be factorized as follows

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} f_u & 0 & c_u \\ 0 & f_v & c_v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_1^t & | & t_1 \\ r_2^t & | & t_2 \\ r_3^t & | & t_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} (f_u r_1 + c_u r_3)^t & | & f_u t_1 + c_u t_3 \\ (f_v r_2 + c_v r_3)^t & | & f_v t_2 + c_v t_3 \\ r_3^t & | & t_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where r_1, r_2, r_3 are 3 dimensional vectors so that

$$R = (r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3)^t ,$$

$t = -RO$, R is the camera orientation matrix, and O is the center of projection of the camera in object coordinates. Prove that

$$P \begin{pmatrix} O \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and use the equation to estimate O from P . Prove that

$$\begin{cases} p_3^t(p_1 - c_u p_3) = 0 \\ p_3^t(p_2 - c_v p_3) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and use the equation to estimate c_u and c_v . Prove that if P is normalized so that $|p_3| = 1$, then

$$\begin{cases} |f_u| = |p_1 - c_u p_3| \\ |f_v| = |p_2 - c_v p_3| \end{cases}$$

and use the equations to estimate f_u and f_v . What can you say about the sign of f_u and f_v ?

By using the calibration pattern in *calib.jpg* and the above equations, write a routine in order to estimate the extrinsic (R and O) and intrinsic (f_u, f_v, c_u and c_v) parameters. The size of the square in *calib.jpg* is 2x2cm.